

From: [REDACTED]
secretary.state@beis.gov.uk
Cc: [East Anglia ONE North](#); [East Anglia Two](#)
Subject: PINS Ref: EA1N: EN010077 and EA2: EN010078
Date: 31 January 2022 12:32:36

Dear Secretary of State,

I am writing in relation to the above projects and the letter dated [20th September 2021](#) to support the recent comments and objections that have been raised by [Suffolk Energy Action Solutions](#) (SEAS) against the planned onshore cabling and associated works.

While not living in the affected area of Suffolk, I have followed the planning process and have every sympathy with the very deep concerns expressed by SEAS and many others in relation to the proposed onshore works.

Renewable energy is undoubtedly crucial, but pursuing this essential pathway should not be at the expense of settled and vibrant communities, such as the one in the affected area, while at the same time posing a threat to an important area for nature, animal and plant species, when alternative and less at risk sites are surely available to be explored.

I very much hope that the proposed onshore cabling and associated works for these projects will be reconsidered in view of their very damaging cumulative impact on the affected areas and that an alternative site is evaluated. I support the request by SEAS that you deliver a split decision such that:

- a. The offshore turbines are approved, so that no time is wasted in respect of their construction; and that
- b. You reject the proposed onshore infrastructure in favour of full consideration of better (i.e. brownfield/industrialised) locations for its development, where the many and varied adverse impacts that have been drawn to your and the planning authority's attention on multiple occasions can be minimised.

Yours sincerely,
Jeremy Cama